Satistical modelling of clinical trials

Sept. 21st, 2012

Patients' recruitment in a multicentric clinical trial : Recruit N_f patients via *C* centres. Typically, $N_f \sim 300$ and $C \sim 50$.

- Trial can be very long (several years) and very expensive
- Previous models based on linear or exponential interpolation

 \Longrightarrow Need for a probabilistic model that takes into account large variability of recruitment rates in different centres

Centre *i* opens at time*u*_{*i*}.

Γ-model proposed by V. Anisimov (2; 1) :

- Recruitment processes of centres are independent Poisson processes
- Recruitment rate of centre $i : \lambda_i$ (unknown)
- Γ-Poisson model : (λ₁,..., λ_C) iid with Gamma distribution of parameters α and β.

$$p_{lpha,eta}(x)=e^{-eta x}x^{lpha-1}eta^{lpha}\Gamma(lpha)^{-1}$$

On-going study at time t_i . Observed data is the number of patients recruited by each of C centres : (k_1, \ldots, k_C) . Independent but not identically distributed since $\lambda_i \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k_i \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \mathcal{P}(\lambda_i \tau_i)$. Let $\tau_i = t_i - u_i$ (0 if negative). Estimation of (α, β) maximum likelihood technique :

$$\mathbb{P}\left[N_{t_1}^{i}=k_i;1\leq i\leq C\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{C}\frac{(\lambda_i\tau_i)^{k_i}}{k_i!}e^{-\tau_i\lambda_i}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{C}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k_i)}{k_i!\Gamma(\alpha)}\frac{\beta^{\alpha}\tau_i^{k_i}}{(\beta+\tau_i)^{\alpha+k_i}}$$

log-likelihood (up to some constant) :

$$\mathcal{L}_{C}(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha \ln \beta - \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \ln \Gamma(\alpha + k_{i}) - (\alpha + k_{i}) \ln(\beta + \tau_{i})$$
$$(\hat{\alpha}_{C}, \hat{\beta}_{C}) = \arg \max_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}_{C}(\alpha,\beta)$$

As $C \to +\infty$, the MLE is consistent and asymptotically normal. Approximated Fischer information matrix : if $f(\theta, k_i, \tau_i) = \alpha \ln \beta - \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + \ln \Gamma(\alpha + k_i) - (\alpha + k_i) \ln(\beta + \tau_i)$, then $(\theta = (\alpha, \beta))$:

$$I(\theta_0) \simeq rac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C I_i(\theta_0) = -rac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[
abla^2 f(\theta_0, k_i, \tau_i)
ight].$$

and

$$\sqrt{C}(\hat{\theta}_{C}- heta_{0})\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq}\mathcal{N}(0,I(heta_{0})^{-1})$$

$$\begin{split} (I_i)_{11} &= -\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_0,\beta_0} \left[\psi^{(1)}(\alpha_0 + k_i) \right] + \psi^{(1)}(\alpha_0), \\ (I_i)_{12} &= (I_i)_{21} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \tau_i/\beta_0} \right), \\ (I_i)_{22} &= \frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \tau_i/\beta_0} \right), \end{split}$$

Bayesian re-estimation of the distribution of λ_i :

'forward distribution' of λ_i conditionnaly of the information at t_1 :

 $\Gamma(\alpha + k_i, \beta + \tau_i)$

Overall recruitment rate : $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \Gamma(\alpha + k_i, \beta + \tau_i)$, that can be approximated by a $\Gamma(A, B)$ distribution by matching moments.

In this framework, the (remaining) recruitment time $\hat{T} - t_1$ has density :

$$p_T(x) = \frac{\Gamma(A+N_1)}{\Gamma(A)\Gamma(N_1)} B^A \frac{x^{N_1-1}}{(x+B)^{N_1+A}}$$

Then it is easy to get :

• $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_f\right]$ • $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{T}\right]$

If $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_{f}\right]$ is too small (say lower than p = 95%), we open *M* centres.

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda'_i$$

- λ_i has the 'forward distribution' $\Gamma(\alpha_0 + k_i, \beta_0 + \tau_i)$
- λ'_i has a $\Gamma(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ distribution

Here, centres are supposed to open instantaneously, but it is possible to assume they open later in some interval $[r'_i, s'_i]$.

If $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{\mathcal{T}} \leq \mathcal{T}_{f}\right]$ is too high, one can close centres to save money.

Sensitivity parameters (see (3)) : $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_f\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{T}\right]$ are calculated with $(\hat{\alpha}_C, \hat{\beta}_C)$ estimated at t_1 , instead of real parameters (α_0, β_0) . The subsequent error is evaluated thanks to sensitivity parameters (e.g. $\partial_{\alpha} \mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_f\right]$). When the overall rate is approximated by a Gamma distribution :

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \frac{\alpha + k_i}{\beta + \tau_i}$$
 et $v = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \frac{\alpha + k_i}{(\beta + \tau_i)^2}$

althenors

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{T}\right] &\approx -N \frac{\partial_{\alpha}(m - \frac{v}{m})}{(m - \frac{v}{m})^{2}}, \\ \partial_{\beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{T}\right] &\approx -N \frac{\partial_{\beta}(m - \frac{v}{m})}{(m - \frac{v}{m})^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Extension of the model

- Pareto distribution instead of Gamma distribution : $p_{k_p,x_m}(x) = k_p x_m^{k_p} \mathbf{1}_{x \ge x_m} x^{-1-k_p}$
- opening times u_i of centres unkown, but we know the time of first recruitment v_i. Then we assume u_i uniformly distributed in [0, v_i].

Real data (centres' opening times unkown) :

 $N = 610, C = 77, T_f = 3$ years

Study actually finished in 2.31 years.

<i>t</i> ₁	1	1.5	2
Pareto - parameters	(1.19, 1.39)	(1.23, 1.30)	(1.18, 1.22)
Pareto - $\mathbb{E}[\hat{T}]$	2.79	2.30	2.35
Gamma - parameters	(1.17, 0.25)	(1.08, 0.26)	(1.31, 0.33)
Gamma - $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathcal{T}}]$	2.81	2.34	2.36

At $t_1 = 1$, in the Γ -Poisson model, we get $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_f\right] \geq 0.999$. Closing the smallest 10 centres steal leads to $\mathbb{P}\left[\hat{T} \leq T_f\right] \sim 0.999$.

Model validation : ν_i = number of centres having recruited exactly *i* patients



FIGURE: Green : real data ; Bleu : Gamma model ; Rouge : Pareto model

Further research :

- simultaneaous modelling of screened, randomized and lost patients
- cost modelling
- exogenous variables?

- Vladimir V. Anisimov, Using mixed poisson models in patient recruit in multicentre clinical trials, Proceedings of th World Congress on Ingineering (London, United Kingdom), vol. II, 2008.
- [2] Vladimir V. Anisimov and Valerii V. Fedorov, Modelling, prediction and adaptive adjustment of recruitment in multicentre trials, Stat. Med. 26 (2007), no. 27, 4958–4975. MR MR2405491
- [3] Guillaume Mijoule, Stéphanie Savy, and Nicolas Savy, Models for patients' recruitment in clinical trials and sensitivity analysis, Statistics in Medicine 31 (2012), no. 16, 1655–1674.